



# Enhancing Student Engagement and Experience through Technology-Based Collaborative Learning in Language Education

Muhammad Nur Ashari<sup>1</sup>, Ventje Jany Kalukar<sup>2</sup>, Ichi Ahada<sup>3</sup>,  
Bibit Suhatmady<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>English Departement, Mulawarman Univeristy, Samarinda, East Kalimantan

## Article Info

Received: 2026-03-03

Revised: 2026-03-20

Accepted: 2026-03-21

### Keywords:

Collaborative Learning;  
Student Engagement;  
English Language Learning;  
TPACK;  
Social Constructivism

### DOI:

10.24256/ideas.v14i1.9835

### Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Nur Ashari  
[mhmdrnari@gmail.com](mailto:mhmdrnari@gmail.com)  
English Departement,  
Mulawarman Univeristy,  
Samarinda, East Kalimantan

## Abstract

*This study addresses the limited exploration of students' perspectives on technology-enhanced collaborative learning in English language education, particularly in Indonesia as a developing country context. The study aims to investigate how technology-mediated collaboration enhances student engagement and learning experiences. Guided by the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework and Vygotsky's social constructivism, this qualitative research involved five students from the English Department of Mulawarman University who actively participated in digital collaborative projects. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews and analyzed using thematic analysis. The findings reveal that technology enhances student engagement by promoting active participation, flexibility, and collaborative interaction. Digital tools such as Google Docs, Canva, Zoom, and WhatsApp supported clearer role distribution, improved communication, and facilitated better understanding of English through accessible learning resources. Students also reported increased motivation, confidence, and responsibility in group work. However, several challenges were identified, including unstable internet connections, unequal participation, and occasional miscommunication. Overall, technology-assisted collaborative learning fosters meaningful learning experiences while supporting students' language and communication development.*

## **1. Introduction**

The integration of technology in language teaching has become a transformative development in the digital era, reshaping the landscape of English language education. Technology-enhanced learning environments enable educators to create more dynamic, interactive, and inclusive classrooms that accommodate diverse student needs. In English Language Teaching (ELT), the use of digital tools supports not only content delivery but also meaningful interaction, allowing students to actively participate in the learning process. Previous research has shown that the integration of technology in ELT can enhance student engagement and participation, although its effectiveness is highly dependent on contextual factors and collaborative practices (Balchin & Wild, 2022)

Technology-enhanced collaborative learning has emerged as a key approach in promoting student engagement. Collaboration supported by digital tools encourages learners to actively participate, exchange ideas, and develop critical thinking skills. Studies have shown that students who work collaboratively toward shared goals tend to achieve better learning outcomes and develop a stronger sense of responsibility for their learning. Technology-enhanced collaborative learning promotes student interaction, engagement, and teamwork through digital platforms that enable idea sharing and peer feedback. Such collaborative environments support active learning and can enhance students' learning processes and outcomes (Shamir-Inbal & Blau, 2021)

In addition, the use of technology that aligns with students' everyday experiences has been found to increase motivation and engagement. For example, interactive platforms and gamified learning activities can enhance participation by making learning more engaging and meaningful. The integration of technology in language education also supports equitable access to learning, as emphasized by UNESCO (2015), by providing opportunities for students to access resources and participate in collaborative learning regardless of time and place. These developments highlight the important role of technology in shaping more student-centered and inclusive learning environments.

This study is grounded in two relevant theoretical frameworks: Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) and Social Constructivism. The TPACK framework conceptualizes effective teaching as the integration of technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (Koehler, 2006) It emphasizes the complex and context-specific relationships among these knowledge domains in supporting effective teaching with technology. However, existing studies on TPACK have predominantly focused on teachers' knowledge and competencies, with limited attention to how such integration influences students' engagement and learning experiences.

In addition, Vygotsky's Social Constructivist theory highlights that learning is fundamentally a social process that occurs through interaction and collaboration. Knowledge is constructed through dialogue and mediated by tools within a specific social context. In technology-based learning environments, digital tools function as mediational means that enable learners to interact, share ideas, and collaboratively construct knowledge. Although social constructivism has been widely applied in collaborative learning research, studies that explore students' lived experiences in digitally mediated collaboration remain limited.

A growing body of research has examined the role of technology in supporting collaborative learning and student engagement in language education. Previous studies indicate that technology enhanced collaborative learning can promote student engagement and improve learning processes, particularly when supported by appropriate pedagogical design (Shamir-Inbal & Blau, 2021). Similarly, other research reports that technology-based collaboration enhances student engagement, motivation, and interaction, although challenges such as technological access and implementation constraints remain (Sartini et al., 2024). Additionally, some studies highlight potential drawbacks, including communication barriers and technical challenges, such as limited digital literacy, connectivity issues, and platform related disruptions, which may hinder students' willingness to communicate (Li & Huang, 2012).

Despite these developments, previous research has primarily focused on learning outcomes and instructional effectiveness, with limited attention given to students' lived experiences and perspectives, particularly in developing country contexts such as Indonesia. There remains a need to explore how students experience technology based collaborative learning and how it shapes their engagement and interaction in authentic learning environments.

Based on this research gap, this study aims to investigate how technology-based collaborative learning enhances student engagement and learning experiences in English language education. Specifically, this study addresses the following research questions: (1) How does technology-based collaborative learning influence students' engagement in EFL contexts? and (2) How does technology-based collaborative learning affect students' learning experiences in English language learning? The novelty of this study lies in its focus on students' direct experiences within an Indonesian context, providing deeper insights into how technology-assisted collaboration supports meaningful engagement and interaction in language learning.

## **2. Method**

This study employs a qualitative research design to investigate how technology-based collaborative learning enhances student engagement and learning experiences in English language education. A qualitative approach is appropriate as it allows for an in-depth exploration of participants' lived

experiences and provides a contextual understanding of how technology influences engagement within collaborative learning environments. By focusing on students' subjective perspectives, this study aims to generate rich and meaningful insights into the role of technology in supporting collaborative language learning.

The participants of this study consisted of five students from the English Department at Mulawarman University. They were selected using purposive sampling based on specific criteria, including active involvement in technology-based collaborative learning activities, frequent use of digital tools (such as Google Docs, Zoom, or WhatsApp), and participation in group-based language learning tasks. Although the sample size is small, it is appropriate for qualitative research, which prioritizes depth of understanding rather than generalization. This allowed for a detailed and focused exploration of each participant's experiences.

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews as the primary research instrument. The interview guide consisted of open-ended questions designed to explore participants' experiences with technology-based collaborative learning. The questions focused on several key areas, including students' level of engagement, their roles in collaborative activities, the types of digital tools used, and their perceptions of how technology influenced their learning. Sample questions included: "How does technology affect your participation in group work?", "What challenges do you face when collaborating using digital tools?", and "How do digital platforms support your understanding of English?" The semi-structured format allowed the researcher to ask follow-up questions and explore emerging themes in greater depth.

The data collection procedure followed several steps to ensure ethical and effective implementation. Participants were first contacted and informed about the purpose of the study. Informed consent was obtained prior to data collection, and participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity. Interview schedules were arranged based on participants' availability to create a comfortable and supportive environment. Each interview lasted approximately 10–15 minutes and was conducted either face-to-face or via online conferencing platforms, depending on participants' preferences. All interviews were audio-recorded with participants' permission and subsequently transcribed verbatim to preserve the authenticity of their responses.

The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis. The analysis process involved several stages. First, the researcher familiarized themselves with the data by repeatedly reading the interview transcripts. Second, initial codes were generated using open coding by identifying meaningful units related to student engagement and technology use. Third, these codes were grouped into categories and developed into broader themes. The themes were then reviewed and refined iteratively to ensure they accurately represented participants' experiences. Finally, the themes were interpreted in relation to the research questions and linked to relevant theoretical frameworks, including TPACK and social constructivism, to

provide deeper analytical insights.

To ensure the trustworthiness of the findings, several strategies were employed. Member checking was conducted by allowing participants to review the interpretations of their responses to ensure accuracy. Peer debriefing was carried out with colleagues to reduce researcher bias and enhance credibility. Additionally, thick description was used to provide detailed accounts of participants' experiences, enabling readers to evaluate the transferability of the findings to similar contexts.

### **3. Result**

This section presents the findings of the study based on the analysis of interview data regarding students' experiences and the effects of technology on engagement in collaborative English learning.

#### ***Findings of RQ1: Students' Learning Experiences***

##### ***A. Technology Supports Diverse and Positive Learning Experiences***

The findings show that students generally had positive experiences using technology in collaborative English learning. They worked together on writing, presentations, and group projects using tools such as Google Docs, Canva, Zoom, WhatsApp, and Google Meet. These tools enabled both synchronous and asynchronous collaboration, allowing students to participate anytime and anywhere.

“We could edit the same document while discussing online.” – MAH

This indicates that real-time collaboration enhances flexibility and coordination in group work.

“Using shared tools makes it easier to exchange ideas.” – DIM

This suggests that technology supports more active participation among students.

##### ***B. Learning is More Flexible and Independent***

Participants described learning as more flexible because they could collaborate regardless of location and at their own pace. They did not need to meet physically, which made learning more time- and energy-efficient. They also accessed various resources such as YouTube, Grammarly, and translation tools to support independent learning.

“Technology gives us more flexibility... we can work from anywhere.” – A  
This shows that technology allows students to manage their learning more efficiently.

To further illustrate this flexibility, one participant noted:

“I can work anytime without waiting for meetings.” – SW  
These highlights how technology promotes independent learning habits.

### ***C. Technology Facilitates Better Understanding of English***

All participants stated that technology helped them better understand English. They used tools such as Google Translate, Grammarly, and online videos to find meanings, grammar explanations, and examples. Digital tools also supported their creativity in completing projects.

“It’s easier to search for references while working.” – A  
This suggests that immediate access to information supports comprehension.

“I can quickly check vocabulary or grammar.” – SAP  
This indicates that digital tools enhance learning efficiency.

### ***D. Challenges: Connectivity, Inactive Members, and Miscommunication***

Despite the benefits, participants also reported challenges. Poor internet connection sometimes delayed task completion. Unequal participation was also noted, with some members being less responsive or lacking technical skills. Communication through text sometimes caused misunderstandings.

“Some friends didn’t contribute much.” – A  
This reflects issues of unequal participation in group work.

“If the connection was bad, it slowed the task.” – SAP  
This highlights the impact of technical limitations on collaboration.

Additionally, a participant mentioned:  
“I sometimes missed discussions because of connection problems.” – DIM  
This reinforces the role of infrastructure in shaping learning experiences.

## ***Findings of RQ2: Student Engagement***

### ***A. Higher Levels of Engagement in Group Work***

Students reported feeling more active, confident, and engaged when using technology. They could contribute through writing, video discussions, or design tools, allowing them to participate based on their strengths.

“I felt more active and responsible in group work.” – SAP  
This indicates that technology increases student involvement.

“I shared ideas and asked questions online.” – A  
This suggests that digital platforms support participation.

### ***B. Technology Increases Motivation and Reduces Boredom***

Participants stated that technology made learning more enjoyable. They preferred videos, interactive tools, and creative tasks over traditional methods.

“Learning is more exciting with videos and websites.” – SAP  
This shows that technology enhances motivation.

“Using apps makes learning less boring.” – A  
This indicates that interactive tools improve engagement.

### ***C. Clearer Role Distribution and Responsibility***

Technology helped students manage tasks and responsibilities more clearly. Tools like Google Docs and Canva allowed them to divide work and monitor contributions.

“We shared the work equally.” – SAP  
This reflects balanced collaboration among group members.

“I helped with design and organizing.” – MAH  
This shows role differentiation based on skills.

#### **D. Recommendations for Teacher Support**

Participants suggested that teachers use more interactive tools and provide clearer instructions and feedback.

“Teachers could use apps like Kahoot or Google Form.” – SAP  
This suggests the need for more interactive teaching strategies.

“Clear feedback helps us stay motivated.” – A  
This highlights the importance of teacher guidance.

#### **4. Discussion**

The findings of this study demonstrate that technology plays a crucial role in shaping students' engagement and learning experiences in collaborative English language learning. Rather than merely functioning as a supporting tool, technology acts as a mediating resource that facilitates interaction, participation, and knowledge construction within digital learning environments. This indicates that technology integration, when applied effectively, contributes to more student-centered and interactive learning processes, as technology in the digital era has been shown to significantly influence student engagement and learning interaction (Adiyono et al., 2024)

The positive learning experiences reported by students align with previous studies indicating that technology enhances student collaboration and interaction (Mourão, 2021) From the perspective of the TPACK framework, these findings suggest that the effective integration of technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge enables students to engage more actively with learning materials. In this context, technology does not operate independently but supports pedagogical strategies that promote collaboration and deeper understanding.

The flexibility and independence experienced by students further highlight the role of technology in enhancing engagement and supporting personalized learning. This finding is consistent with (Sartini et al., 2024) which suggests that technology enhances accessibility, supports personalized instruction, and increases student interaction. From a constructivist perspective, this reflects the idea that learning occurs through active engagement and self-directed exploration, where students construct knowledge based on their interactions with digital tools and peers.

Moreover, the use of digital tools in facilitating better understanding of English suggests that technology serves as a form of scaffolding in language learning. As noted by (Pham, 2022) the use of technology can increase students' engagement, interest, and participation in learning English. This supports the notion that technology can enhance not only participation but also the quality of

learning by enabling continuous access to language input and peer support.

Despite these benefits, the challenges identified in this study indicate that technology integration is not without limitations. Issues such as unstable internet connectivity, unequal participation, and miscommunication reflect the findings of (Powell, 2021), who emphasized that online collaboration may face challenges in terms of interaction, participation, and communication among learners. This suggests that successful implementation of technology-based collaborative learning requires not only access to tools but also effective facilitation and support.

In terms of engagement, the findings show that technology contributes to increased active participation, confidence, and a stronger sense of involvement among students. This is in line with (King Ramírez, 2020) who shows that digital platforms enable interaction in collaborative online learning environments, while students' participation and experiences are shaped by technological readiness and differences in academic culture.

Additionally, the increased motivation and reduced boredom reported by students can be explained through Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 1985), which emphasizes the importance of autonomy, competence, and relatedness in fostering engagement. In this context, technology-supported collaborative learning can be seen as supporting these psychological needs by providing flexible learning opportunities, enabling skill development, and encouraging social interaction among peers.

The clearer role distribution observed in collaborative tasks also indicates that digital tools can enhance participation among group members. This finding is supported by previous research suggesting that technology-enhanced learning environments promote interaction, peer support, and group cohesion, which contribute to more active engagement in collaborative settings (Li & Huang, 2012) As a result, students are more likely to take responsibility for their roles within group work.

Finally, these findings have important pedagogical implications. Students' recommendations highlight the need for teacher guidance in technology-integrated learning environments. As emphasized by (Pham, 2022) and (Turhan & Kirkgöz, 2023) teachers play a critical role in facilitating and guiding collaborative learning activities and supporting the effective use of digital tools. In the context of English language education in developing countries such as Indonesia, this suggests that effective technology integration should be supported by both pedagogical design and adequate technological infrastructure to ensure equitable and meaningful learning experiences.

## 5. Conclusion

This study explored students' engagement and learning experiences in technology-based collaborative learning within the context of English language education. The findings indicate that technology, when effectively integrated, plays a significant role in enhancing student engagement, interaction, and overall learning experiences. Digital tools such as Google Docs, Padlet, and Zoom support more flexible, interactive, and collaborative learning environments, enabling students to participate actively and develop both language and communication skills. In addition, technology facilitates not only academic learning but also social interaction and motivation among students.

Despite these benefits, several challenges were identified, including unequal participation, time management issues, and differences in digital literacy. These challenges suggest that the effectiveness of technology-based collaborative learning depends not only on the availability of digital tools but also on how they are implemented and supported within the learning process.

This study contributes to the existing literature by providing insights into students' lived experiences in technology-supported collaborative learning, particularly in the context of Indonesia as a developing country. The findings highlight the importance of pedagogical design and teacher guidance in ensuring meaningful and equitable participation in digital learning environments.

However, this study is limited by its small sample size and its focus on a specific context, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Therefore, future research is recommended to involve a larger number of participants and explore diverse educational settings. Further studies should also focus on developing strategies to address challenges such as unequal participation and digital literacy gaps, as well as examining the long-term impact of technology-based collaborative learning on student engagement and learning outcomes.

## 6. References

- Adiyono, A., Hayat, E. W., Oktavia, E. D., & Prasetyo, N. T. (2024). Learning interaction in the digital era: Technological innovations and education management strategies to enhance student engagement. *Journal of Research in Instructional*, 4(1), 205–221. <https://doi.org/10.30862/jri.v4i1.333>
- Balchin, K., & Wild, C. (2022). Exploring the role of context and collaboration in normalising technology use in English language teaching in secondary schools in Malaysia. In *Computer Assisted Language Learning* (Vol. 35, Number 7, pp. 1437–1457). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1803360>
- King Ramírez, C. (2020). Influences of academic culture in Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL): Differences in Mexican and U.S. students' reported experiences. *Foreign Language Annals*, 53(3), 438–457.

- <https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12485>
- Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge PUNYA MISHRA. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
- Li, M., & Huang, H. (2012). The impact of technology-enhanced language learning environments on second language learners' willingness to communicate: A systematic review of empirical studies from 2012 to 2023. *Language Learning & Technology*, 2024(1). <https://hdl.handle.net/10125/73593>
- Mourão, S. (2021). English as a foreign language in ECE: itinerant teachers of English and collaborative practices for an integrated approach. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 29(3), 455–471. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350293X.2021.1928726>
- Pham, T. C. (2022). Effects of Using Technology to Engage Students in Learning English at a Secondary school. *International Journal of Language Instruction*, 1(1), 86–98. <https://doi.org/10.54855/ijli.22118>
- Powell, C. (2021). "Acting a play helped me." Year 8 students' understanding, inclusion and empowerment whilst using drama-focused collaborative learning to explore *A Midsummer Night's Dream*. *English in Education*, 55(2), 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/04250494.2020.1816135>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (1985). Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being Self-Determination Theory. Ryan.
- Sartini, Ratih, K., & Fauziati, E. (2024). Innovative Pedagogies: Enhancing ELT/TESOL Through Technology and Collaboration. *JLE: Journal of Literate of English Education Study Program*, 5(2), 136–146. <https://doi.org/10.47435/jle.v5i2.3080>
- Shamir-Inbal, T., & Blau, I. (2021). Characteristics of pedagogical change in integrating digital collaborative learning and their sustainability in a school culture: e-CSAMR framework. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 37(3), 825–838. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12526>
- Turhan, B., & Kirkgöz, Y. (2023). A critical and collaborative stance towards retrospective reflection in language teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 46(2), 222–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2021.1917545>