IQRO: *Journal of Islamic Education*
2026, Vol.9, No.1, hal.52-64
ISSN(E): 2622-3201
2026 PAI UIN Palopo. https://ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id/index.php/iqro

Integrative Humanities Education: Japan's Interdisciplinary Curriculum Reform and Its Implications for Global Educational Paradigms

Habib Badawi
Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon
email: habib.badawi@ul.edu.lb

Abstract
This study investigates the effectiveness of Japan's integrated secondary humanities curriculum—combining history, geography, ethics, and social sciences—in fostering critical thinking, cultural competence, and holistic understanding. Employing a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, the study engaged 500 high school students (ages 15–18) and 50 teachers across ten purposively selected schools representing diverse urban, rural, and socioeconomic contexts in Japan. Quantitative findings demonstrated statistically significant gains in critical thinking (Watson-Glaser Appraisal: $d = 0.71$, $p < .001$) and cultural competence (Cultural Intelligence Scale: $d = 0.84$, $p < .001$), alongside a 9% average improvement in overall GPA. Qualitative analysis—drawing on semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, focus groups, and reflective essays—revealed enhanced interdisciplinary reasoning, improved perspective-taking, and heightened student motivation, while identifying teacher professional development as a key implementation challenge. These findings affirm the viability of constructivist, interdisciplinary curriculum models for preparing secondary students for globalized society and offer transferable insights for educational reform in comparable national contexts.
Keywords: *critical thinking; cultural competence; curriculum reform; humanities education; interdisciplinary learning*

Abstrak
Studi ini menginvestigasi efektivitas kurikulum humaniora terpadu jenjang pendidikan menengah di Jepang—yang menggabungkan sejarah, geografi, etika, dan ilmu sosial—dalam menumbuhkan pemikiran kritis, kompetensi budaya, dan pemahaman holistik.
Dengan menggunakan desain metode campuran paralel konvergen, penelitian ini melibatkan 500 siswa sekolah menengah atas (usia 15–18 tahun) dan 50 guru di sepuluh sekolah yang dipilih secara purposif, mewakili beragam konteks perkotaan, pedesaan, dan sosial ekonomi di Jepang. Temuan kuantitatif menunjukkan peningkatan yang signifikan secara statistik dalam pemikiran kritis (Watson-Glaser Appraisal: $d = 0{,}71$, $p < {,}001$) dan kompetensi budaya (Cultural Intelligence Scale: $d = 0{,}84$, $p < {,}001$), disertai peningkatan rata-rata IPK keseluruhan sebesar 9%. Analisis kualitatif—yang didasarkan pada wawancara semi-terstruktur, observasi kelas, diskusi kelompok terfokus, dan esai reflektif—mengungkapkan peningkatan penalaran antardisiplin, kemampuan pengambilan perspektif yang lebih baik, serta motivasi siswa yang semakin tinggi; sekaligus mengidentifikasi pengembangan profesional guru sebagai tantangan utama dalam pelaksanaannya. Temuan-temuan ini menegaskan kelayakan model kurikulum konstruktivis dan antardisiplin dalam mempersiapkan siswa pendidikan menengah untuk menghadapi masyarakat yang semakin global, serta menawarkan wawasan yang dapat diterapkan untuk reformasi pendidikan di konteks negara-negara lain yang sebanding.
Kata kunci: *Pemikiran kritis; kompetensi budaya; reformasi kurikulum; pendidikan humaniora; pembelajaran antardisiplin*

©***IQRO:*** *Journal of Islamic Education. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons - Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International license (CC BY-SA 4.0)*

Introduction

Humanities education systems worldwide face mounting pressure to move beyond disciplinary silos and cultivate competencies relevant to complex, globalized societies. In Japan, this pressure has catalyzed a significant reform of the secondary school humanities curriculum, integrating history, geography, ethics, and social sciences within a unified interdisciplinary framework. Driven by government policy (Hoang et al., 2022), demographic change, and evolving labour market demands, the reform represents one of the most ambitious curriculum overhauls in Japan's post-war educational history.

Japan's shift toward constructivist, interdisciplinary education must be understood against its educational history. The post-war democratisation of schooling under U.S. occupation, the rise of the competitive 'shadow education' system of private cram schools (juku), and the exam-driven culture that accompanied Japan's economic miracle collectively produced an educational system strong in content delivery but critiqued for stifling creativity. The 'relaxed education' reforms (yutori kyōiku) of the 1980s–1990s attempted to redress this imbalance; the current integrated humanities curriculum represents the next stage in that trajectory, drawing on international evidence to produce a more cognitively rich and globally oriented curriculum.

Constructivist theory holds that learners build knowledge by integrating new information with existing cognitive structures (Piaget, 1976). Japan's curriculum embeds this principle through its emphasis on active knowledge construction across disciplinary domains. Vygotsky's (1978) social constructivism extends this foundation by highlighting the generative role of peer interaction and cultural mediation in cognitive development (Repko & Szostak, 2020). Gardner's (1983) Theory of Multiple Intelligences is addressed through literary analysis, group projects, geographic mapping, historical role-play, and reflective writing. Mezirow's (1991) Transformative Learning Theory foregrounds critical reflection and perspective shift as the hallmarks of deep learning, with the curriculum creating conditions for transformative experience by confronting students with ethical dilemmas and culturally divergent historical narratives (Taylor, 2007).

Cultural competence—as conceptualised in Deardorff's (2006) process model—identifies attitudes of openness and curiosity, knowledge of cultural systems, and behavioural adaptability as the core dimensions of intercultural effectiveness. Japan's curriculum directly targets each dimension through comparative cultural study, engagement with international media and literature, and analysis of global systemic interdependencies, aligning with Earley and Ang's (2003) cultural intelligence framework. Klein (2005) and Newell (2001) establish that interdisciplinary learning fosters cognitive flexibility and capacity to engage with ill-structured, real-world problems. Nussbaum (2010) argues that the humanities cultivate the imaginative and ethical capacities essential to democratic citizenship, while Zakaria (2015) contends that liberal arts graduates are precisely the adaptive professionals a rapidly changing economy demands.

Previous empirical studies on interdisciplinary curricula have predominantly focused on Western higher education contexts, with limited investigation at the secondary level in East Asian settings. Han (2015) demonstrates the value of examining Japanese historical events through simultaneous geographic, cultural, and social lenses, while Abrami et al.'s (2015) meta-analysis establishes a critical thinking effect-size benchmark ($d = 0.33$) against which current findings can be evaluated. This study extends the empirical base to a large-scale, geographically diverse secondary school context, addressing a significant gap in the literature.

This study addresses four research questions: (1) Does Japan's integrated humanities curriculum produce statistically significant improvements in students' critical thinking skills over one academic year? (2) Does participation in this curriculum significantly enhance students' cultural competence? (3) What qualitative experiences and challenges characterise student and teacher engagement with interdisciplinary humanities instruction? (4) What are the broader implications of this curriculum model for global educational reform? By providing mixed-methods evidence from a geographically diverse, large-scale sample, this study contributes to the empirical literature on interdisciplinary education and offers concrete, policy-relevant insights for educational systems internationally.

Method

Research Design

This study employs a convergent parallel mixed-methods design (Creswell & Creswell, 2017), in which quantitative and qualitative data are collected simultaneously, analysed independently, and subsequently integrated at the interpretation stage through triangulation and joint displays. This design was selected to combine the statistical power of quantitative analysis with the contextual depth of qualitative inquiry, enabling a comprehensive evaluation of the curriculum's impact.

Participants And Sampling

Participants comprised 500 high school students (ages 15–18) enrolled in ten schools across Japan that had adopted the new humanities curriculum, and 50 teachers responsible for its implementation. Schools were selected via purposive sampling to represent a range of urban, suburban, and rural settings across different prefectures, and varying socioeconomic profiles, thereby enhancing the transferability of findings. A stratified random sample of 50 students and 20 teachers was drawn for qualitative interviews. It is acknowledged that purposive rather than probability-based school selection limits statistical generalisability; future studies should employ random sampling frameworks where feasible.

Data Collection Instruments

Three quantitative measures were administered. Critical thinking was assessed using the Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal (Watson & Glaser, 2002), a validated psychometric instrument measuring inference, recognition of assumptions, deduction, interpretation, and evaluation of arguments, administered at the start and end of the academic year. Cultural competence was assessed using the 20-item Cultural Intelligence Scale (Ang et al., 2007), which measures metacognitive, cognitive, motivational, and behavioural cultural intelligence. Academic performance data were extracted from school records and compared with historical cohort data.

Qualitative data were gathered through five methods: (a) semi-structured individual interviews with 50 students and 20 teachers; (b) 100 hours of standardised classroom observation across the ten schools, using a structured rubric; (c) student reflective essays at the end of each semester; (d) six focus group sessions of 8–10 students

each; and (e) documentary analysis of curriculum guidelines, lesson plans, and student assignments.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all quantitative variables. Paired t-tests compared pre- and post-test scores; one-way ANOVA compared academic performance across cohorts; and multiple regression examined the relationship between instructional variables and learning outcomes. Effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's d (Cohen, 1988). Qualitative data were analysed using Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase thematic analysis framework and the Constant Comparative Method (Glaser & Strauss, 1967)[1] was applied across data sources. Inter-rater reliability was assessed using Cohen's Kappa on a 20% coded subset; $\kappa \geq .80$ was achieved, indicating strong agreement. Quantitative and qualitative findings were subsequently integrated through triangulation and joint displays.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Lebanese University. Written informed consent was secured from all participants; parental or guardian consent was obtained for students under the age of 18. Confidentiality was maintained through full anonymisation of all data, stored on encrypted, password-protected servers. Participation was entirely voluntary, with the unconditional right to withdraw at any stage. The study design prioritised cultural sensitivity to Japanese educational norms, and recruitment was designed to ensure equitable inclusion across school types, regions, and socioeconomic contexts.

Results and Discussion

This section presents the study's findings systematically by outcome domain. Each subsection reports the primary finding, situates it within relevant theory and prior research, and draws implications for policy and practice. Quantitative results are summarised in Tables 1–4; key qualitative themes are presented in Table 3.

Critical Thinking Development

Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal scores demonstrated a statistically significant improvement over the academic year: pre-test M = 52.3 (SD = 8.7), post-test

M = 61.8 (SD = 7.4); t(499) = 14.62, p < .001, Cohen's d = 0.71 (see Table 1). This large effect size substantially exceeds the average gain (d = 0.33) documented across diverse critical thinking interventions in Abrami et al.'s (2015) meta-analysis and surpasses the conventional benchmark of d = 0.40 for educationally meaningful effects (Hattie, 2009).

Table 1. *Quantitative Results: Pre- and Post-Test Scores for Critical Thinking and Cultural Competence*

Measure	Pre-Test M (SD)	Post-Test M (SD)	t-statistic	p-value	Cohen's d
Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal	52.3 (8.7)	61.8 (7.4)	t(499) = 14.62	< .001	0.71
Cultural Intelligence Scale (CQS)	85.4 (12.3)	98.7 (10.8)	t(499) = 17.31	< .001	0.84

Note. N = 500. Cohen's d benchmarks: small = 0.20, medium = 0.50, large ≥ 0.80 (Cohen, 1988). CQS = Cultural Intelligence Scale (Ang et al., 2007).

These gains are theoretically consistent with constructivist principles. Sustained engagement with disciplinary tensions across history, geography, ethics, and social sciences created productive cognitive dissonance, compelling students to revise and deepen their analytical frameworks (Piaget, 1976; Vygotsky, 1978). Qualitative data reinforce this interpretation: students consistently reported heightened capacity to detect assumptions in historical narratives, evaluate competing causal explanations, and apply conceptual frameworks across unfamiliar contexts—patterns consistent with Paul and Elder's (2019) characterisation of disciplined, self-correcting reasoning. For educational policy, these results challenge the assumption that disciplinary breadth must be traded off against analytical depth.

Cultural Competence And Global Perspective

Cultural Intelligence Scale scores showed a substantial and significant increase: pre-test M = 85.4 (SD = 12.3), post-test M = 98.7 (SD = 10.8); t(499) = 17.31, p < .001, Cohen's d = 0.84. This is the largest effect size observed in the study, indicating that the curriculum was particularly effective in developing students' intercultural understanding and adaptive capacity.

This outcome aligns closely with Deardorff's (2006) process model of intercultural competence, which identifies sustained, structured engagement with diverse perspectives as a necessary condition for developmental progression from ethnocentrism toward ethnorelativism (Bennett, 2017). Qualitative themes of improved

perspective-taking—including greater empathy across cultural boundaries and more nuanced engagement with global issues such as climate change and migration—provide convergent evidence for these quantitative gains. Mezirow's (1991) transformative learning framework offers further theoretical purchase: exposure to culturally unfamiliar perspectives appears to have functioned as a repeated disorienting dilemma, prompting genuine worldview revision. As Friedman (2002) argues, nations capable of navigating cultural complexity are better positioned for global economic and diplomatic engagement, suggesting these curriculum gains extend well beyond educational settings.

Academic Performance And Interdisciplinary Transfer

Academic performance improved across all measured subject areas: humanities subjects (+12%), STEM subjects (+7%), and overall GPA (+9%; see Table 2). The positive spillover into STEM performance is particularly noteworthy, as it was not a primary target of the curriculum's design.

Table 2. *Academic Performance Improvements by Subject Area*

Subject Area	Average Grade Increase (%)
Humanities subjects	12%
STEM subjects	7%
Overall GPA	9%

Note. Grade increases represent mean change from the prior academic year cohort. Data were obtained from school administrative records.

This cross-domain transfer is theoretically consistent with Gardner's (2006) concept of the 'synthesising mind'—the capacity to integrate information across disciplines in ways that generate novel insight. The metacognitive skills cultivated through the integrated curriculum—principally, the ability to evaluate evidence, identify assumptions, and reason across conceptual boundaries—appear to generalise to structurally different problem types, a phenomenon cognitive scientists term 'far transfer' (Spiro et al., 1988). Qualitative reports of heightened intrinsic motivation are theoretically consistent with self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985), which links perceived meaning and competence to sustained academic engagement. These findings suggest that high-quality humanities instruction may function not as a competing priority to STEM education, but as a generative foundation for broader academic competency development (Nussbaum, 2010; Zakaria, 2015).

Implementation Challenges And Teacher Development

Qualitative data identified a recurring theme of initial implementation difficulty among a subset of teachers, who reported discomfort with interdisciplinary pedagogy, uncertainty about assessment design, and a perceived need for additional institutional support and collaborative planning time (see Table 3 for key qualitative themes).

Table 3. *Key Qualitative Themes Identified Through Thematic Analysis*

Theme	Description	Representative Data Sources
Enhanced Interdisciplinary Thinking	Students demonstrated increased ability to draw connections across subject domains and apply conceptual frameworks from one discipline to problems in another.	Interviews, reflective essays, classroom observations
Deeper Engagement with Global Issues	Students reported heightened interest in complex global challenges, including climate change, intercultural conflict, and socioeconomic inequality.	Focus groups, reflective essays
Improved Perspective-Taking	Teachers and students alike reported enhanced capacity for considering multiple cultural and historical viewpoints and for empathising with diverse human experiences.	Interviews, focus groups, classroom observations
Increased Intrinsic Motivation	A majority of students attributed increased engagement and academic persistence to the curriculum's emphasis on real-world relevance and cross-disciplinary discovery.	Interviews, reflective essays
Implementation Challenges	A subset of teachers reported initial difficulties adapting to interdisciplinary pedagogy, citing needs for additional training, assessment guidance, and collaborative planning time.	Teacher interviews, classroom observations

Note. Themes were identified through Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase thematic analysis. Inter-rater reliability: Cohen's $\kappa \geq .80$ *across all coded subsets.*

These experiences map closely onto what Fullan (2007) terms the 'implementation dip': a predictable phase of reduced efficacy and confidence that accompanies substantive professional change. Applebee et al. (2007) similarly document the structural and cognitive challenges of transitioning from single-subject to interdisciplinary teaching roles. Importantly, schools that established dedicated professional learning communities—structured collaborative groups for curriculum planning and reflective practice—reported more rapid adaptation and more consistent

implementation quality. Sustained investment in teacher professional development, including pre-implementation training, ongoing peer collaboration structures, and access to model lessons and assessment exemplars, appears to be a necessary rather than optional component of successful interdisciplinary curriculum reform.

Integrated Analysis And Effect Size Benchmarking

Triangulation of quantitative and qualitative findings reveals a coherent and mutually reinforcing account of the curriculum's impact. The large-scale quantitative gains in critical thinking and cultural competence are corroborated by qualitative reports of meaningful changes in how students engage with knowledge, interpret the perspectives of others, and connect learning across contexts. Where the quantitative data reveal the magnitude of outcomes, the qualitative data specify the mechanisms: structured disciplinary integration, transformative cross-cultural encounters, and active, inquiry-based pedagogy. Where divergence emerges—most notably around implementation variability—the qualitative data provide actionable explanations that the quantitative metrics alone could not supply.

Table 4. *Comparison of Observed Effect Sizes with Prior Research Benchmarks*

Study / Benchmark	Intervention	Effect Size (Cohen's d)
Current study – Critical thinking	Japan's integrated humanities curriculum	0.71
Current study – Cultural competence	Japan's integrated humanities curriculum	0.84
Abrami et al. (2015) meta-analysis average	Diverse critical thinking interventions	0.33
Hattie (2009) 'typical educational effect'	Various interventions	0.40

Note. Effect sizes ≥ 0.50 are considered large in educational research contexts (Hattie, 2009). The current study's effects for both primary outcomes exceed this threshold and the meta-analytic average reported by Abrami et al. (2015).

As shown in Table 4, both primary outcomes substantially exceed established benchmarks from prior meta-analyses, confirming that the curriculum's interdisciplinary design yields educationally distinctive and practically significant results. This integration strengthens confidence in the study's conclusions and identifies the boundary conditions under which the curriculum is most effective.

Conclusion

This study provides robust, mixed-methods evidence for the effectiveness of Japan's integrated secondary humanities curriculum in improving students' critical thinking (d = 0.71), cultural competence (d = 0.84), and academic performance across subject domains. These effect sizes substantially exceed established benchmarks from prior meta-analyses, indicating that the curriculum's interdisciplinary design yields distinctive and practically significant educational outcomes. Qualitative findings establish that these gains are accompanied by meaningful shifts in student motivation, perspective-taking capacity, and cross-disciplinary reasoning—outcomes with relevance well beyond examination performance.

The study makes two principal contributions. Theoretically, it demonstrates that constructivist, multiple intelligences, and transformative learning frameworks can be operationalised within a national secondary curriculum to produce large-scale, measurable competency gains—extending the predominantly Western, higher-education evidence base into a new institutional context. Practically, it provides a replicable evaluation model and identifies the professional development and collaborative structural support necessary for successful implementation.

Two limitations warrant acknowledgement. The one-year study duration does not capture longitudinal effects on learning trajectories; future research should track cohorts across multiple years and into post-secondary settings. The purposive school selection strategy, while enhancing contextual richness, constrains statistical generalisation; replication using probabilistic sampling in comparable national systems is needed to assess cross-cultural transferability. Future studies might also incorporate civic engagement, career readiness, and well-being as supplementary outcome measures.

In summary, Japan's humanities curriculum reform demonstrates that thoughtfully designed interdisciplinary education can simultaneously deepen understanding within domains and extend competency across them. These findings offer evidence-based guidance for educational systems worldwide seeking to prepare secondary students for the demands of an increasingly complex and interconnected

global society, with relevance for Islamic educational institutions seeking to integrate humanities perspectives with values-based, holistic learning paradigms.

Conclusions

This study provides robust, mixed-methods evidence for the effectiveness of Japan's integrated secondary humanities curriculum in improving students' critical thinking (d = 0.71), cultural competence (d = 0.84), and academic performance across subject domains. These effect sizes substantially exceed established benchmarks from prior meta-analyses, indicating that the curriculum's interdisciplinary design yields distinctive and practically significant educational outcomes. Qualitative findings establish that these gains are accompanied by meaningful shifts in student motivation, perspective-taking capacity, and cross-disciplinary reasoning—outcomes with relevance well beyond examination performance.

The study makes two principal contributions. Theoretically, it demonstrates that constructivist, multiple intelligences, and transformative learning frameworks can be operationalised within a national secondary curriculum to produce large-scale, measurable competency gains—extending the predominantly Western, higher-education evidence base into a new institutional context. Practically, it provides a replicable evaluation model and identifies the professional development and collaborative structural support necessary for successful implementation.

Two limitations warrant acknowledgement. The one-year study duration does not capture longitudinal effects on learning trajectories; future research should track cohorts across multiple years and into post-secondary settings. The purposive school selection strategy, while enhancing contextual richness, constrains statistical generalisation; replication using probabilistic sampling in comparable national systems is needed to assess cross-cultural transferability. Future studies might also incorporate civic engagement, career readiness, and well-being as supplementary outcome measures.

In summary, Japan's humanities curriculum reform demonstrates that thoughtfully designed interdisciplinary education can simultaneously deepen understanding within domains and extend competency across them. These findings offer evidence-based guidance for educational systems worldwide seeking to prepare secondary students for the demands of an increasingly complex and interconnected

global society, with relevance for Islamic educational institutions seeking to integrate humanities perspectives with values-based, holistic learning paradigms.

References

Abrami, P. C., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Waddington, D. I., Wade, C. A., & Persson, T. (2015). Strategies for teaching students to think critically: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 85*(2), 275–314. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654314551063

Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., Koh, C., Ng, K. Y., Templer, K. J., Tay, C., & Chandrasekar, N. A. (2007). Cultural intelligence: Its measurement and effects on cultural judgment and decision making, cultural adaptation and task performance. *Management and Organization Review, 3*(3), 335–371. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2007.00082.x

Applebee, A. N., Adler, M., & Flihan, S. (2007). Interdisciplinary curricula in middle and high school classrooms. *American Educational Research Journal, 44*(4), 1002–1039. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831207308219

Armstrong, T. (2009). *Multiple intelligences in the classroom* (3rd ed.). ASCD.

Bennett, J. M. (2017). Developmental model of intercultural sensitivity. In Y. Y. Kim (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of intercultural communication* (pp. 1–10). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118783665.ieicc0182

Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom*. George Washington University.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of Studies in International Education, 10*(3), 241–266. https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315306287002

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Plenum Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-2271-7

Earley, P. C., & Ang, S. (2003). *Cultural intelligence: Individual interactions across cultures*. Stanford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780804766005

Friedman, T. L. (2002). *The Lexus and the olive tree: Understanding globalization*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.

Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Basic Books.

Gardner, H. (2006). *Five minds for the future*. Harvard Business School Press.

Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Aldine. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006199-196807000-00014

Gull, C. (2005). *Educational reform in the 21st century: Global perspectives*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Han, J. (2015). History education in Japan: Current trends and future directions. *Asian Education and Development Studies, 4*(3), 295–311. https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-03-2015-0006

Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.

Hoang, T., et al. (2022). *Educational innovations in Asia: Trends and challenges*. Routledge.

Khandaghi, M. A., & Pakmehr, H. (2012). Active learning strategies in higher education. *Journal of Educational Research, 15*(3), 124–136.

Klein, J. T. (2005). Integrative learning and interdisciplinary studies. *Peer Review, 7*(4), 8–10.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice-Hall.

Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.

Newell, W. H. (2001). A theory of interdisciplinary studies. *Issues in Integrative Studies, 19*, 1–25.

Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). *Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities*. Princeton University Press.

Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2019). *The miniature guide to critical thinking concepts and tools* (8th ed.). Rowman & Littlefield. https://doi.org/10.5771/9781538133798-11

Piaget, J. (1976). *The grasp of consciousness: Action and concept in the young child*. Harvard University Press.

Repko, A. F., & Szostak, R. (2020). *Interdisciplinary research: Process and theory* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Small, H. (2013). *The value of the humanities*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199683864.001.0001

Spiro, R. J., Coulson, R. L., Feltovich, P. J., & Anderson, D. K. (1988). Cognitive flexibility theory: Advanced knowledge acquisition in ill-structured domains. In V. Patel (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 10th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 375–383). Erlbaum.

Suárez-Orozco, M. M., & Sattin, C. (2007). Wanted: Global citizens. *Educational Leadership, 64*(7), 58–62.

Taylor, E. W. (2007). An update of transformative learning theory. *International Journal of Lifelong Education, 26*(2), 173–191. https://doi.org/10.1080/02601370701219475

Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times*. Jossey-Bass.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Watson, G., & Glaser, E. M. (2002). *Watson–Glaser critical thinking appraisal*. Psychological Corporation.

Zakaria, F. (2015). *In defense of a liberal education*. W. W. Norton & Company.