



Contents list available at: <https://ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id/index/>  
**Journal of Institution and Sharia Finance**  
Journal homepage: [https://ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id/index.php/sharia\\_finance](https://ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id/index.php/sharia_finance)



## INSTITUTIONAL ECONOMICS AND HUMAN CAPITAL AS DRIVERS OF LABOR MARKET TRANSFORMATION: A STRUCTURAL PERSPECTIVE FROM INDONESIA

Erwin<sup>1</sup>, Dirmansyah Darwin<sup>2</sup>, Adi Zulkarnaen<sup>3</sup>, Muh. Fardan Ngoyo<sup>4</sup>, Hafid Sumarwadji<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</sup>Makassar State University, Makassar, Indonesia

### Article Info

### Abstract

#### Keywords:

Labor Market Transformation, Human Capital, Institutional Quality.

#### Paper type:

Research Paper

#### \*Corresponding author:

email:

[erwin@unm.ac.id](mailto:erwin@unm.ac.id)

*Labor market transformation from traditional to modern sectors is a critical milestone for economic development, yet regional disparities in emerging economies persist. This study investigates the impact of human capital and institutional quality on labor market transformation across 34 provinces in Indonesia over the period of 2015–2025. Employing a panel data regression with a Fixed Effect Model (FEM) approach on 374 observations, and controlling for economic growth and regional investment, the empirical results reveal that both human capital and institutional quality significantly drive the structural shift of labor toward the industrial and service sectors. Specifically, higher educational attainment and better democratic governance accelerate the absorption of labor into high-productivity sectors. Furthermore, economic growth and investment act as robust catalysts in this structural transformation. These findings suggest that to optimize the demographic dividend, regional policymakers must not rely solely on physical investment but should synergy aggressive human capital development with institutional governance reforms*

### Cite this document:

Erwin, et.al. (2026). Institutional Economics and Human Capital as Drivers of Labor Market Transformation: A Structural Perspective From Indonesia. Journal of Institution and Sharia Finance, 9 (1). 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.24256/joins.v9i1.11001>

## INTRODUCTION

Today, modern economics globally emphasizes issues and phenomena of structural transformation that can influence long-term economic development. Structural transformation theory explains the importance of changing economic structure from traditional to modern sectors. Economic growth occurs through the movement of labor from low-productivity sectors to more productive ones (Mateko et al., 2025, 2026; Yadu & Mehra, 2024). This context encompasses not only shifts in economic sectors, but also changes in urbanization, job types, and the structure of production within the economy (Gollin & Kaboski, 2023). This shift is key to growth, but recent studies emphasize the importance of human capital accumulation as a key factor enabling labor to shift to non-agricultural sectors (Mateko et al., 2026).

In the Indonesian context, structural transformation has been underway since the 1990s, marked by a shift from the agricultural sector to industry and services, although the pace varies across sectors (Wahyudi & Hendramin, 2023). However, several studies also indicate that Indonesia has not yet fully reached the Lewis turning point, meaning there is still a surplus of labor in traditional sectors and labor transfer is not yet fully optimal (Pratiwik & Rahmayani, 2023; Rukmana et al., 2021; Sugiharti et al., 2022). This indicates that the structural transformation process in Indonesia is still in its infancy. For example, the phenomenon of premature deindustrialization has become a significant issue in Indonesia's development economy. This condition occurs when the manufacturing sector's contribution to GDP and employment declines before industrialization reaches its optimal stage, causing the country to lose industrial momentum at a still-low income level (Pratiwik & Rahmayani, 2023).

A growing body of literature shows that the success of workforce transformation is strongly influenced by the quality of institutions that encourage investment in knowledge and technology (et al, 2012; Fu et al., 2025; Mousseuknadjji Kouladoum et al., 2025; Sahnoun & Abdennadher, 2022). Furthermore, an empirical study using provincial panel data from 2015–2023 found that the decline in manufacturing industry performance was related to various factors such as investment, labor, and trade openness (Imantria, 2025). This suggests that investment alone is insufficient to drive industrialization without the support of appropriate policies. Indonesia faces the

challenge of premature deindustrialization, marked by a decline in the role of the manufacturing sector before it reaches its optimal stage. This situation is exacerbated if the quality of institutions is unable to provide adequate returns for investment. Without productivity-based transformation, the workforce risks being trapped in the low-value-added informal service sector, instead of moving to the more stable modern industrial sector (Sahnoun & Abdennadher, 2022).

Although extensive studies have examined the individual effects of human capital and institutional quality on economic development, limited attention has been devoted to understanding how both factors interact in shaping labor market transformation under conditions of premature deindustrialization. Existing studies tend to analyze structural transformation either from the perspective of sectoral productivity or institutional performance, while overlooking the growing challenge of skills mismatch in emerging economies. This study addresses this gap by integrating human capital theory, institutional economics, and skill-biased structural change into a unified analytical framework.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **Institutional Economics Theory**

The Institutional Economics approach emphasizes that the quality of institutions plays an important role in determining economic and labor market performance (Mousseuknadjı Kouladoum et al., 2025). Good institutions can create legal certainty, market efficiency, and a conducive investment climate. In the employment context, institutional quality influences policies such as minimum wages, labor regulations, and worker protection.

Several studies show that minimum wage policies have varying impacts on employment absorption (Mehtar, 2024; Pappas & Gkrammis, 2025). In some cases, minimum wages do not significantly impact employment because companies are able to adjust their cost structures. However, under certain conditions, wage increases can suppress labor demand, especially in labor-intensive sectors. Thus, institutional effectiveness depends heavily on the balance between labor protection and business sustainability. From an institutional economics perspective, institutional quality is a

fundamental factor determining regional economic performance. Institutions function as rules of the game that influence economic incentives, market efficiency, and investment decisions (Mousseuknadjı Kouladoum et al., 2025). Research shows that institutional quality has a strong relationship with long-term economic growth and regional development (Aparicio-Pérez et al., 2025).

The quality of institutions is a key factor in determining regional economic performance (Bifulco & Neri, 2022; Permana et al., 2026a, 2026b). Good institutions can increase productivity and create an environment conducive to innovation and economic activity. Empirically, institutional quality directly impacts productivity growth and also influences the effectiveness of other factors, such as human capital (Rodríguez-Pose & Ganau, 2022). Institutions also function as contextual factors influencing firm and regional productivity. High institutional quality has been shown to increase firm productivity, particularly through aspects such as the rule of law and government effectiveness (Agostino et al., 2020). This suggests that institutions play not only a direct role but also strengthen the relationship between human capital and economic performance.

### **Structural Change Theory**

Structural transformation is the process of shifting the workforce from traditional sectors (agriculture) to modern sectors such as industry and services. This theory is often explained within the framework of the dual-sector model, which emphasizes the importance of industrialization in absorbing labor. In a regional context, this transformation does not always proceed optimally due to differences in economic capacity between regions. Economic growth, reflected in Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP), is often the main indicator driving this transformation, although it is not always accompanied by a proportional increase in labor absorption. Structural change theory explains that economic development is characterized by changes in the composition of economic sectors, particularly a shift from agriculture to industry and services. This change occurs through the reallocation of labor and resources to sectors with higher productivity. Recent studies have shown that structural change is a universal

phenomenon in long-term economic growth, with the contribution of the agricultural sector declining while the contribution of the industrial and service sectors increases (Warr & Yusuf Anshory, 2025).

In a modern approach, structural change is understood as the result of productivity differences between sectors and the economy's ability to efficiently move labor and capital. This process is key to increasing aggregate productivity and economic growth. Furthermore, factors such as digitalization have been shown to accelerate structural change, although in some cases, it can lead to premature deindustrialization in developing countries (Banga et al., 2026). Structural transformation is characterized by a shift in the workforce towards more productive sectors, which is strongly influenced by the quality of human capital. Human capital plays a crucial role in driving innovation and economic development, both directly and through increased technological capacity.

Furthermore, human capital accumulation has a long-term impact on development differences between regions (Diebolt & Hippe, 2019). Furthermore, the literature emphasizes that structural change is influenced not only by economic factors but also by technology, institutions, and government policies. Changes in technology and the organization of production are the main drivers of economic transformation, while institutions play a role in facilitating or hindering this process (Schilirò, 2012). Digital transformation is a modern form of structural change that affects the labor market through job substitution and job creation mechanisms. Research by Bertani et al. (2020) shows that digitalization increases economic productivity but also has the potential to lead to technological unemployment due to automation. This confirms that structural change not only shifts labor between sectors but also alters the balance between efficiency and labor absorption in the economy.

Empirical research shows that increasing GRDP tends to have a positive effect on labor absorption, especially in regions with dynamic economic structures. However, if economic growth is capital-intensive, its impact on labor absorption is limited. This confirms that structural transformation depends not only on economic growth but also on the character of the developing sector.

Theoretically, structural change can also be explained through a multisector model, which suggests that differences in productivity growth between sectors will drive gradual labor migration. Over the long term, labor tends to shift to sectors with specific characteristics in line with the dynamics of economic growth (Pissarides & Ngai Rachel, 2006). Therefore, structural change is not merely a static phenomenon, but rather a dynamic process heavily influenced by the interaction between technology, policy, and global markets.

### **Human Capital Theory**

Human Capital Theory was developed by Theodore W. Schultz and Gary Becker, which states that education, training, experience, and health are forms of investment that can increase individual productivity (Kantemirova et al., 2024; Morozova et al., 2024). Human capital is viewed as an economic asset that generates returns in the form of increased income, productivity, and economic growth. According to this theory, individuals with higher levels of education and skills tend to have better productivity and are therefore better able to adapt to technological changes and labor market needs. (Morozova et al., 2024) At the regional level, the accumulation of human capital contributes to increased innovation, regional competitiveness, and accelerated economic transformation.

In the digital era and knowledge-based economy, human capital is a strategic factor that determines a region's ability to attract investment and develop modern economic sectors. (Kantemirova et al., 2024) Therefore, the quality of human capital is a key determinant of labor market transformation. This theory is used to explain how education and workforce skills drive the shift of labor from traditional sectors to more productive modern sectors.

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

### **Research Approach**

This study uses a quantitative approach with static panel data analysis to analyze the influence of institutional quality and human capital on labor market transformation

in Indonesia. This quantitative approach was chosen because it allows for more objective and measurable testing of causal relationships between variables. The analysis was conducted at the provincial level to capture the heterogeneity of regional economic development, a key characteristic of Indonesia. The unit of analysis is all provinces in Indonesia during the period 2015–2025. This period was selected considering the availability of data related to human capital indicators, institutional quality, and employment structure, as well as the period of accelerated digital transformation and the national economy.

### Research Data and Variables

This study uses data from all provinces in Indonesia for the period 2015–2025, collected from the Central Statistics Agency (BPS). The operational definitions of the variables are explained as follows:

Variables	Definition	Indicator	Unit	Data source
Labor Market Transformation (LMT)(Y)	Changes in the employment structure that show a shift in the workforce from the traditional sector to the more productive modern sector.	Percentage of industrial and service sector workforce to total workforce.	Percentage (%)	Central Bureau of Statistics
Human Capital (HC)(X1)	The level of quality of human resources that reflects the capabilities of the workforce through education and skills.	Average Years of Schooling (RLS), Expected Years of Schooling (HLS), and Education Index.	Year and Index	Central Bureau of Statistics
Institutional Quality (IQ)(X2)	Regional institutional capacity	Indonesian Democracy Index (IDI).	Index (0–100)	Central Bureau of Statistics

---

Economic Growth (EG)(Control)	<p>reflects the quality of democratic governance as a foundation for economic development and the labor market. The growth of economic activity in a region is reflected in the increase in regional output.</p>	Real GRDP Growth Rate.	Percentage (%)	Central Bureau of Statistics
Investment (INV)(Control)	<p>The value of investment entering a region as a driver of job creation and economic transformation.</p>	Realization of PMA and PMDN.	Billion Rupiah	Ministry of Investment and Downstreaming/BKPM

---

**Data analysis**

This study uses a quantitative approach with panel data analysis to examine the influence of Institutional Economics and Human Capital on Labor Market Transformation at the provincial level in Indonesia for the period 2015–2025. Data processing was performed using Stata 18 or EViews 13 software. Data analysis was carried out through the following stages:

- a. Descriptive statistical analysis to describe the characteristics of each research variable includes the mean, median, maximum, minimum, and standard deviation. The purpose of this analysis is to obtain a general overview of the data distribution and identify possible outliers in each research variable.

b. Fixed Effect Model Estimation

$$LMT_{it} = \alpha_i + \lambda_t + \beta_1 HC_{it} + \beta_2 IE_{it} + \beta_3 EG_{it} + \beta_4 INV_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where: LMT is labor market transformation; HC is human capital; IE is Institutional Economics; EG is economic growth, and INV is investment.

c. Model Selection Test

To ensure that Fixed Effect is the best model, two tests were carried out..

1. The Chow Test is used to select between the Common Effect Model and the Fixed Effect Model. If Prob < 0.05, the Fixed Effect is selected.
2. The Hausman Test is used to select between a Random Effect Model and a Fixed Effect Model. If Prob < 0.05, the Fixed Effect is selected.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Descriptive Statistics

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics**

Variables	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
LMT (Y) (%)	374	68.42	5.18	48.12	82.45
HC (X1) (Index)	374	0.67	0.08	0.51	0.81
IQ (X2) (Index)	374	75.2	4.65	65.3	85.9
EG (Control) (%)	374	4.75	1.22	-5.4	8.2
INV (Ln) (Billion Rp)	374	18.25	1.55	15.4	22.1

**Source: Author's Analysis, (2026)**

Table 1 presents a summary of descriptive statistics for 374 observations covering 34 provinces in Indonesia during the period 2015–2025. The dependent variable, Labor Market Transformation (LMT), shows an average of 68.42% with a standard deviation of 5.18, indicating significant structural disparities between provinces. The Human Capital (HC) and Institutional Quality (IQ) variables have averages of 0.67 and 75.20, respectively. The minimum value of the Economic Growth

(EG) variable of -5.40% reflects a sharp economic contraction during the global pandemic, while the stable Investment (Ln) value indicates a positive trend in regional capital accumulation. Overall, the data characteristics show considerable variation, making the use of a Fixed Effect Model (FEM) crucial to capture unobserved heterogeneity specific to each province.

**Fixed Effect Model (FEM) Estimation Model**

**Table 2 Estimates Fixed Effect Model (FEM)**

Independent Variables	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
HC (X1)	0.452	0.085	5.31	0
IQ (X2)	0.128	0.042	3.04	0.002
EG (Control)	0.085	0.031	2.74	0.006
INV (Ln)	0.215	0.055	3.91	0
Constant	12.45	1.2	10:37	0
R-squared (Within)	0.685			
Prob (F-statistic)	0.000			

**Source: Author's Analysis, (2026)**

The estimation results using the Fixed Effect Model (FEM) as presented in Table 2 show that the quality of human resources (HC) has a positive and statistically significant impact on labor market transformation ( $\beta = 0.452, p < 0.01$ ). This finding confirms that increased education and skills are the main determinants in the shift of labor to the productive sector. Furthermore, Institutional Quality (IQ) also has a positive effect ( $\beta = 0.128, p < 0.01$ ), indicating that credible democratic governance creates an inclusive labor market climate. The control variables Economic Growth and Investment are proven to have a positive and significant influence, strengthening the argument that capital accumulation and economic growth are essential catalysts for structural transformation at the regional level. With an R-squared (within) value of 0.685, this model is able to explain 68.5% of the variation in labor market transformation in Indonesia, with province-specific effects consistently controlled.

**Discussion**

This study finds that institutional quality has a significant influence on labor

market transformation. Quality institutions have an important role in creating economic stability, effective employment policies, law enforcement, and a conducive investment climate that can encourage increased labor productivity and accelerate the shift of labor from traditional sectors to more modern industrial and service sectors (Fu et al., 2025; Pratiwik & Rahmayani, 2023; Rukmana et al., 2021). In addition, good institutional quality can also improve the quality of human resources through support for education, job training, and skills development that meet labor market needs. Strong institutions enable the transformation of the labor structure to be more effective, efficient, and sustainable, thereby increasing economic growth and public welfare (Pinzon et al., 2026).

Quality institutions able to create policies, regulations, and a stable economic environment that can encourage increased labor productivity, development of the industrial and service sectors, and the movement of labor from the traditional sector to a more productive and competitive modern sector (Ye et al., 2025). The influence of institutional quality on the transformation of the labor structure shows that effective and quality institutions are able to create policies, regulations, and a conducive economic climate in encouraging increased employment opportunities, the movement of labor from the traditional sector to the modern sector, and the development of more productive, innovative, and competitive human resources in facing economic and technological changes (Iason & Gaki, 2025).

Furthermore, in a regional context, human capital also plays a role in increasing the ability of society to adopt new technologies and face changes in the job market due to digitalization (Yadu & Mehra, 2024). Quality education and job training enable the workforce to develop innovation capabilities, technological mastery, and greater competitiveness in the modern job market. Research on human capital and structural transformation shows that increased investment in education significantly impacts the optimization of the employment structure and increases regional productivity (Wu et al., 2025).

Regional human capital is a key factor in determining the direction of transformation labor structure, particularly in the context of changes in the modern, increasingly skills-based economy. The literature shows that the quality and skill

composition of the workforce at the regional level not only influences productivity but also determines a region's ability to adapt to changes in the economic structure (Kantemirova et al., 2024). In published research in the journal *Papers in Regional Science*, it was found that the dynamics of workforce skills across regions indicate a process of skills convergence, where less industrially developed regions begin to experience improvements in workforce quality through spatial spillover (Castro de Leite Henrique & Azzoni Roberto, 2025). Furthermore, the relationship between regional human capital and structural transformation is explained through the concept of skill relatedness. A study in the journal *Papers in Regional Science* shows that the linkages between skills within a region have a significant influence on industrial entry and exit, as well as job growth. Regions with high levels of skill relatedness tend to experience faster economic diversification and are able to create new, higher-quality job opportunities (Galetti Bretas Ricardo et al., 2021). The influence of regional human capital on the transformation of the employment structure shows that improving the quality of education, skills, and labor productivity in a region can encourage a shift in the workforce from traditional sectors to more productive and competitive modern sectors (Liu & Liu, 2026).

## CONCLUSION

The transformation of Indonesia's labor structure is influenced by human capital and the quality of institutions. Education and skills encourage labor migration to productive sectors, while strong institutions foster investment and innovation. However, this process is not yet optimal. This is due to premature deindustrialization and skills mismatches that hinder labor absorption. Without improving the quality of human resources and institutions that align with industry needs, workers risk being trapped in the low-productivity informal sector. Therefore, policy synergy between the government, education, and industry is needed to encourage sustainable transformation.

## REFERENCES

- Agostino, M., Di Tommaso, M.R., Nifo, A., Rubini, L., & Trivieri, F. (2020). Institutional quality and firms' productivity in European regions. *Regional Studies*, 54(9), 1275–1288. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2020.1712689>
- Amoroso, S., Herrmann, B., & Criticos, A.S. (2024). The role of regulation and regional government quality for high-growth firms: the good, the bad and the ugly. *Regional Studies*, 58(9), 1710–1727. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2024.2366289>
- Aparicio-Pérez, D., Balaguer-Coll, M.T., Peiró-Palomino, J., & Tortosa-Ausina, E. (2025). On the Relative Contributions of National and Regional Institutions to Economic Development. *Journal of Regional Science*, 65(4), 1118–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jors.12776>
- Akanzerewai, A.P., Takyi, S.A., Amponsah, O., & Ankrah, D. (2025). Urban Governance Capital city functions and sustainable city nexus : Sustainability analysis of major regional capital cities in Ghana. *Urban Governance*, 5(3), 372–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ugj.2025.06.002>
- Azzahra, F., Mukhtar, S., & Iranto, D. (2023). The influence of GRDP, provincial minimum wages, and HDI on labor absorption in Indonesia. *Simonika Journal*, 2(2), 185–196.
- Banga, K., Harbansh, P., & Singh, S. (2026). Digitalisation and Structural Change: Evidence from Cross-Country Analysis. *Journal of Digital Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdec.2026.03.003>
- Bifulco, L., & Neri, S. (2022). The Italian National Health Service: Universalism, Marketization and the Fading of Territorialization. *Forum for Social Economics*, 51(2), 192–206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07360932.2022.2036625>
- Bertani, F., Raberto, M., & Teglio, A. (2020). The productivity and unemployment effects of the digital transformation: an empirical and modeling assessment. *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*, 1(3), 329–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43253-020-00022-3>
- Boddin, D., & Kroeger, T. (2025). Disentangling structural change, servitization, and skill-biased change. *Labor Economics*, 97(September), 102778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2025.102778>
- Canepa, A., & Dima, B. (2026). North American Journal of Economics and Finance Environmental performance and institution quality in Europe : A Bayesian model averaging approach. *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 83(March 2025), 102591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2026.102591>
- Castro de Leite Henrique, G., & Azzoni Roberto, C. (2025). Skills and regional dynamics. *Papers in Regional Science*, 104(6), 100120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pirs.2025.100120>
- Chen, Z., & Han, J. (2026). Do intelligent manufacturing policies promote regional employment? Moderating role and heterogeneity of financial innovation. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 108, 105342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2026.105342>

- Chinh, D.T., Thi, D., Hue, M., & Dat, L.Q. (2026). Institutional quality, vulnerability, and FDI attraction: New evidence from a novel quantile regression approach. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 107(March), 105147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2026.105147>
- Curtis, M. (2026). Explorations in Economic History Academic human capital in European countries and regions, 1200 – 1793. *Explorations in Economic History*, 101(September 2025), 101756. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eeh.2026.101756>
- Diebolt, C., & Hippe, R. (2019). The long-run impact of human capital on innovation and economic development in the regions of Europe. *Applied Economics*, 51(5), 542–563. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2018.1495820>
- Dinh, T. M., & Tang, A. C. (2025). Digital Transformation and The Labor Market: A Bibliometric Analysis Using The Scopus Database (2020–2025). *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, 13(08), 9625–9633. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijrm/v13i08.em13>
- Dias, J., & Tebaldi, E. (2012). Institutions, human capital, and growth: The institutional mechanisms. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 23(3), 300-312
- Fu, Y., Gan, P.-T., & Gamal, A.A.M. (2025). Threshold effects of institutional quality on economic growth in China's leading provinces. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 15(8), 1210–1227. <https://doi.org/10.55493/5002.v15i8.5505>
- Galetti Bretas Ricardo, J., Tessarin Simone, M., & Morceiro Cesar, P. (2021). Skill relatedness, structural change and heterogeneous regions : evidence from a developing country. *PIRS*, 100(6), 1355–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pirs.12629>
- Gao, S., Zhang, X., & Cheng, H. (2025). Digital Transformation, Technological Innovation, and Enterprise Employment: Empirical Evidence from Chinese Listed Companies. *SAGE Open*, 15(4), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251389873>
- Gollin, D., & Kaboski, J. P. (2023). New views of structural transformation: insights from recent literature. *Oxford Development Studies*, 51(4), 339–361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600818.2023.2280748>
- Gratier, A.N., & Ahour, A.A.E. (2026). Territoriality and employment satisfaction : An analysis using the ^ te d ' Ivoire Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition applied in Co. 15(March 2025), 468–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2025.06.004>
- Groenewegen, J. (2022). Land Use Policy Institutional form (blueprints) and institutional function (process): Theoretical reflections on property rights and land. *Land Use Policy*, 121(July), 106300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106300>
- Hutter, C., & Weber, E. (2022). Labor market effects of inequality wages and skill-biased technical change. *Applied Economics*, 00(00), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2022.2108751>
- Henrique, G., Castro, L. De, & Roberto, C. (2025). Papers in Regional Science Skills and regional dynamics. *Papers in Regional Science*, 104(6), 100120.

- Huang, L. (2026). The coordination mechanism and effect measurement of vocational colleges on regional development — based on bidirectional fixed effects of multi-regional empirical test. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 107(September 2025), 105129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2026.105129>
- Hafiz, EA, Haviz, M., & Haryatiningsih, R. (2021). The influence of GRDP, UMK, and HDI on labor absorption. *Journal of Economics and Business Research*, 1(1), 55–65.
- Iason, N., & Gaki, E. (2025). Geoforum Digital nomads or digital settlers? Rethinking regional development in the information age. *Geoforum*, 167(October), 104466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2025.104466>
- Imantria, B. (2025). Determinants of Premature Deindustrialization and Uneven Manufacturing Industry in Indonesia. 10(1), 30–42. <https://doi.org/10.33633/jpeb.v10i1.11581>
- Jiang, H., Han, Y., Wang, Y., & Chen, Z. (2023). Dynamic Nonlinear Relationship between Digital Transformation, Green Transformation in Manufacturing Industry and Labor Structure: Evidence from Panel VAR Analysis. *Review of Economic Assessment*, 2(3), 16–36. <https://doi.org/10.58567/rea02030002>
- Kantemirova, M., Arsakhanova, G., & Chiviev, A. (2024). Investigating the Influence of Medical Practices on Human Capital and Their Contribution To Sustainable Development. *Reliability: Theory and Applications*, 19(Special issue 6), 1412–1418. <https://doi.org/10.24412/1932-2321-2024-681-1412-1418>
- Liu, M., & Liu, A. (2026). Homeownership and preferences for government redistribution in China: Mediating roles of employment sector and socioeconomic perceptions. *Cities*, 171(May 2024), 106792. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2026.106792>
- Lube, F., Kalangi, JB, & Tolosang, KD (2021). Analysis of the influence of minimum wages and GRDP on labor absorption. *Scientific Periodical Journal of Efficiency*, 21(03), 25–36.
- Menard, C. (2018). Research frontiers of new institutional economics. *RAUSP Management Journal*, 53(1), 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rauspm.2017.12.002>
- Musah, M., Taiwo, S., Boateng, K., Ankrah, I., & Akwasi, J. (2026). When tourism becomes an ecological threat : Do institutional quality and ICT make a difference in Ghana? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 560(April), 148315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2026.148315>
- Mateko, F. M., Tesfachew, T., & Wolf, C. (2025). The Significance of Structural Transformation for Poverty Alleviation in Intergovernmental Authority on Development. *Sustainable Development*, 2443–2455. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.70428>
- Mateko, F. M., Tesfachew, T., & Wolf, C. (2026). The Significance of Structural Transformation for Poverty Alleviation in Intergovernmental Authority on Development. *Sustainable Development*, 34(2), 2443–2455. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.70428>
- Mehar, M.A. (2024). Nexus of Financial Architecture, Vulnerable Employment and Poverty: The Stimulus of Information Technology. *Forum for Social Economics*, 55(2), 175–201. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07360932.2024.2381437>

- Morozova, O., Aylarova, Z., & Baysaeva, M. (2024). the Impact of Human Capital on Economic Growth in the Face of Global Challenges. *Reliability: Theory and Applications*, 19(Special issue 6), 1623–1628. <https://doi.org/10.24412/1932-2321-2024-681-1623-1628>
- Mousseuknadjı Kouladoum, J.C., Monglengar Nandingar, S., Etsiba, S., & Ngameni, J.P. (2025). Quality of institutions and structural transformation: case of the ECCAS zone. *SN Business and Economics*, 5(8), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-025-00862-3>
- Pappas, A., & Gkrammis, A. (2025). Minimum wage and unemployment dynamics in the EU: A panel data analysis. *National Accounting Review*, 7(4), 522–545. <https://doi.org/10.3934/NAR.2025022>
- Permana, I., Suyono, E., Arifin, A., & Suharno. (2026a). Regional Regulation Quality and Economic Growth: the Role of Enterprise Governance and Fiscal Capacity. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, 15(2), 267–280. <https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv15i2art23>
- Permana, I., Suyono, E., Arifin, A., & Suharno, S. (2026b). Regional regulation quality and economic growth: The role of enterprise governance and fiscal capacity. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, 15(2), 267. <https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv15i2art23>
- Pratiwik, E., & Rahmayani, D. (2023). Determinants of Agricultural Income to Promote Economic Sustainability in Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1248(1), 012006. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1248/1/012006>
- Pinzon, S., Rodríguez-crespo, E., Tillauango, B., & Laguna-molina, N.E. (2026). Ecological Footprint under the lens : A bibliometric coupling analysis of institutional and socioeconomic factors. *Energy Nexus*, 21(August 2025), 100643. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexus.2026.100643>
- Pissarides, C. A., & Ngai Rachel, L. (2006). Structural Change in a Multi-Sector Model of Growth. 2004(May).
- Qi, H., Huang, Z., Zhang, L., Li, X., & Gao, L. (2025). ScienceDirect Research on the Configuration Path of Regional Innovation : Dynamic QCA Analysis Based on Chinese County-Level Panel Data. *Procedia Computer Science*, 266, 1279–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2025.08.157>
- Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Ganau, R. (2022). Institutions and the productivity challenge for European regions. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 22(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbab003>
- Rukmana, D., Fahmid, M., Salam, M., Tenriawaru, AN, & Maryama, A. (2021). Factors shifting employment in communities in Takalar Regency. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 807(3), 032082. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/807/3/032082>
- Sahnoun, M., & Abdennadher, C. (2022). Returns to Investment in Education in the OECD Countries: Does Governance Quality Matter? *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 13(3), 1819–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00783->
- Schilirò, D. (2012). STRUCTURAL CHANGE AND MODELS OF STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS : THEORIES, PRINCIPLES AND METHODS. III(2), 31–

- 48.<https://doi.org/10.2478/v10257-012-0007-0>
- Shi, X., Shi, D., & Wang, P. (2026). The mechanism and effects of new quality productive forces driving the improvement of employment quality. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 107(December 2025), 105073.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2026.105073>
- Spithoven, A. (2019). Similarities and Dissimilarities between Original Institutional Economics and New Institutional Economics. 3624.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2019.1594532>
- Sugiharti, L., Aditina, N., & Esquivias, M.A. (2022). Worker Transition Across Formal and Informal Sectors: A Panel Data Analysis in Indonesia. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 12(11), 923–937. <https://doi.org/10.55493/5002.v12i11.4635>
- Tyrowicz, J., & Smyk, M. (2019). Wage Inequality and Structural Change. *Social Indicators Research*, 141(2), 503–538.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-018-1846-y>
- Wahyudi, ST, & Hendramin. (2023). Structural Transformation Patterns and Factors That Influenced: The Case in Indonesia. 19(1), 112–126.
- Warr, P., & Yusuf Anshory, A. (2025). What causes structural change? *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 41, 358–376.<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/graf017>
- Wu, J., Guo, C., Liu, X., & Dai, J. (2025). Policy-driven employment structure transformation: The role of innovation and education investment. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 98(February), 103930
- Yadu, C.R., & Mehra, S. (2024). Re-Examining the Narrative of Structural Transformation: Insights from India's Development Experience. *Forum for Social Economics*, 0(0), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07360932.2024.2413507>